


# Exploring the Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) in Investment Strategies: A Review of Investor Preferences

Shahram Niknam<sup>1</sup> and Reza Alizadeh<sup>2\*</sup>



<sup>1</sup> Department of Economics and Finance, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran; 

<sup>2</sup> Department of Marketing, University of Arak, Arak, Iran; 

\* Correspondence: Alirezadeh2194@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The objective of this review is to explore the role of Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) in shaping investment strategies by focusing on investor preferences and behaviors. This article uses a scientific narrative review approach, incorporating a descriptive analysis of the literature on BPT and its applications. The materials examined include theoretical models, empirical studies, and case studies related to BPT, behavioral finance, and investment strategies. The review synthesizes insights from various sources to provide a comprehensive understanding of how BPT influences portfolio construction, asset allocation, and the management of behavioral biases. Key findings indicate that BPT offers a more realistic framework for portfolio management by recognizing that investors are not always rational and often make decisions influenced by cognitive biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and mental accounting. BPT allows for the segmentation of portfolios into layers that reflect different financial goals, such as wealth protection and aspiration for growth, making it more adaptable to individual investor preferences. Additionally, the integration of BPT with modern technological advancements, such as artificial intelligence and fintech, is enhancing its practical application in portfolio management by automating the process of adjusting investment layers according to market conditions and investor behavior. The review also highlights the challenges associated with implementing BPT, particularly its complexity and the persistence of behavioral biases that can still affect decision-making. However, compared to traditional models like Modern Portfolio Theory, BPT offers a more personalized and psychologically attuned approach to investment strategies. In conclusion, BPT provides a valuable framework for understanding and managing the complexities of investor behavior in financial markets, with potential for further evolution as research and technology continue to advance.

**Citation:** Niknam, S., & Alizadeh, R. (2024). Exploring the Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) in Investment Strategies: A Review of Investor Preferences. *Business, Marketing, and Finance Open*, 1(4), 25-35.

Received: 20 February 2024

Revised: 04 June 2024

Accepted: 17 June 2024

Published: 01 July 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

**Keywords:** Behavioral Portfolio Theory, investor preferences, behavioral biases, portfolio construction, asset allocation, behavioral finance, investment strategies.

## 1. Introduction

Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) emerged as an alternative to traditional portfolio theories, such as Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), by integrating psychological elements into investment decision-making processes. Unlike MPT, which assumes that investors are rational and aim to maximize their utility by balancing risk and return, BPT recognizes that investors are often influenced by cognitive biases, emotions, and irrational behaviors. Behavioral Portfolio Theory, introduced by Shefrin and Statman (2000), presents a framework that acknowledges investors' tendency to create layered portfolios based on goals, each layer reflecting different levels of risk tolerance. In contrast to the singular focus on expected returns and variance optimization in traditional models, BPT emphasizes the mental accounting framework, where investors mentally separate their portfolios into distinct layers, with each

layer tailored to meet specific aspirations and risk appetites. This theory has become increasingly relevant in the field of investment strategies, as it offers a more realistic approach to understanding how investors make decisions under uncertainty [1].

The significance of understanding investor preferences in today's financial markets cannot be overstated. Traditional models like MPT, while providing a foundation for portfolio optimization, often fail to capture the complexity of investor behavior, especially when emotional and psychological factors come into play [2]. Investors do not always behave rationally; they exhibit biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and regret aversion, which greatly affect their investment decisions. For example, studies have shown that cognitive biases, such as the disposition effect and familiarity bias, influence portfolio diversification and decision-making [3, 4]. Behavioral Portfolio Theory offers a unique lens through which to examine these behaviors, providing a more nuanced understanding of how investors construct portfolios that not only aim to maximize wealth but also protect against perceived risks. This theory allows for the consideration of various investor goals, such as wealth accumulation for retirement alongside capital preservation, within a single framework.

The goal of this review is to explore the role of Behavioral Portfolio Theory in shaping investment strategies by focusing on investor behavior and preferences. The review aims to synthesize existing literature on how BPT addresses the cognitive biases that impact investment decisions and how it compares with traditional models like MPT. Through an examination of empirical studies, theoretical discussions, and practical applications, this review will highlight the strengths and limitations of BPT in providing a realistic framework for portfolio construction. Specifically, the review seeks to answer the following questions: How does BPT account for investor behavior that deviates from rational decision-making? What are the practical implications of BPT for portfolio management in the context of cognitive biases and emotional influences? By addressing these questions, the review will contribute to a deeper understanding of how BPT can be applied to improve investment strategies, offering insights for both individual investors and financial advisors seeking to optimize portfolios in a way that aligns with real-world investor behavior.

## **2. Methodology**

The foundation of this review is built on a systematic search of academic journals, books, and peer-reviewed articles that discuss Behavioral Portfolio Theory and its relationship with investor behavior. The search was conducted using various scholarly databases, including Google Scholar, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Web of Science, with a focus on articles published in English. To ensure a comprehensive selection of materials, keywords such as "Behavioral Portfolio Theory," "BPT," "investor preferences," "investment strategies," "mental accounting," and "risk tolerance" were used in different combinations. The search was not limited to recent publications but spanned the last two decades to capture both foundational theories and contemporary developments.

The selection of materials was based on relevance, citation frequency, and contribution to the existing body of knowledge. Articles that offered both theoretical insights and practical applications of BPT were prioritized, alongside those addressing investor preferences in the context of behavioral finance. Sources included empirical studies, conceptual papers, review articles, and case studies to provide a balanced perspective on the topic.

In selecting the articles for review, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to ensure the relevance and quality of the materials. Studies were included if they specifically addressed BPT, investor behavior, or the application of behavioral finance in portfolio management. Additionally, articles focusing on investor risk

preferences, asset allocation strategies, and decision-making processes influenced by behavioral biases were considered highly relevant.

Exclusion criteria included studies that merely mentioned BPT in passing without significant discussion or those that were primarily concerned with unrelated aspects of finance. Papers focused solely on traditional portfolio theory, without addressing behavioral components, were also excluded, as the aim was to emphasize the unique contributions of BPT. Furthermore, articles that were not peer-reviewed or lacked rigorous analysis were omitted to maintain the academic integrity of the review.

Data for this review was collected from a wide range of sources, each contributing unique insights into the application of BPT in investment strategies. The selected materials were categorized based on their focus areas, such as theoretical explanations of BPT, empirical studies on investor behavior, and case studies demonstrating practical applications. For each source, key themes were identified, particularly regarding how BPT deviates from traditional portfolio theories and how it accommodates investor preferences shaped by behavioral biases.

The descriptive analysis method involved synthesizing these themes and drawing connections between theoretical models and practical outcomes. The analysis aimed to highlight the distinctive elements of BPT, such as mental accounting, layered portfolios, and the incorporation of risk aversion into portfolio construction. By comparing different studies, this review identifies patterns in how investors apply BPT to manage risk and achieve their financial goals.

This study is narrative in nature, with a focus on exploring the literature to explain the core principles of Behavioral Portfolio Theory and its implications for investor preferences. The scope of the review extends to both theoretical and empirical research, covering not only foundational works but also recent developments in behavioral finance that have influenced BPT. The review covers materials published up to 2024, ensuring the inclusion of the latest perspectives in the field.

Given the focus on investment strategies, the review includes both academic discussions on the theoretical aspects of BPT and real-world examples of its application in portfolio management. While the emphasis is on the descriptive analysis of existing literature, the review also touches on case studies and practical applications where BPT has been successfully implemented in investor decision-making processes.

### **3. Theoretical Framework**

Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) represents a significant advancement in understanding investment strategies by incorporating psychological and behavioral insights into portfolio construction. Unlike traditional portfolio theories that predominantly emphasize mathematical optimization and rational decision-making, BPT acknowledges the multifaceted nature of investor behavior influenced by cognitive biases and emotional factors. Introduced by Shefrin and Statman (2000), BPT posits that investors do not merely seek to maximize returns for a given level of risk, as prescribed by Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), but instead construct portfolios that reflect a hierarchy of financial goals and psychological preferences. This layered approach allows investors to segregate their investments into different tiers, each serving distinct purposes such as wealth protection, income generation, and wealth accumulation. By recognizing that investors have varying degrees of risk tolerance for different segments of their portfolio, BPT provides a more nuanced and realistic framework for portfolio construction that aligns with actual investor behavior [1].

Investor preferences play a crucial role in shaping portfolio construction within the BPT framework. Central to these preferences is the concept of risk aversion, which varies not only in magnitude but also in context depending

on the investor's financial objectives. BPT distinguishes between goals that prioritize wealth protection and those aimed at wealth maximization, acknowledging that investors allocate their assets accordingly to balance these often competing interests. For instance, an investor might allocate a portion of their portfolio to low-risk, stable investments to safeguard their principal, while simultaneously investing in higher-risk assets to pursue greater returns in other segments. This differentiation in risk tolerance across various portfolio layers underscores the importance of mental accounting, where investors categorize their investments based on specific goals and the perceived importance of each category [5]. Additionally, behavioral biases such as loss aversion and overconfidence further influence investor preferences and decision-making processes, leading to deviations from the purely rational models proposed by traditional theories [4]. By accommodating these behavioral nuances, BPT offers a comprehensive understanding of how investors tailor their portfolios to meet diverse financial aspirations while managing inherent risks.

When comparing BPT with Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), the distinctions become evident in their foundational assumptions and practical applications. MPT, developed by Harry Markowitz, is grounded in the premise that investors are rational agents who seek to optimize their portfolios by maximizing expected returns for a given level of risk through diversification. It relies heavily on quantitative measures such as mean-variance optimization to construct efficient portfolios. In contrast, BPT diverges from MPT by integrating behavioral finance principles, recognizing that investors are influenced by psychological factors that lead to non-optimal decision-making. While MPT treats risk and return as the primary factors in portfolio selection, BPT incorporates additional layers that account for investors' emotional responses and cognitive biases, such as mental accounting and loss aversion [2]. This behavioral perspective allows BPT to explain phenomena that MPT cannot, such as why investors might hold onto losing investments longer than rational analysis would suggest or why they might prefer certain asset classes based on personal goals rather than purely financial metrics [6]. Furthermore, BPT provides practical insights for portfolio managers and financial advisors by highlighting the importance of aligning investment strategies with the psychological profiles and specific preferences of investors, thereby enhancing the effectiveness and personalization of portfolio management [7]. In summary, while MPT offers a robust framework for understanding the trade-off between risk and return, BPT enriches this understanding by incorporating the behavioral dimensions that drive real-world investment decisions.

#### **4. Investor Preferences in Behavioral Portfolio Theory**

In Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT), investor preferences are fundamental in shaping how portfolios are structured and managed. A key feature of BPT is the segmentation of portfolios into layers that correspond to specific financial goals and risk appetites. Investors typically create a "safety-first" layer, which is dedicated to preserving capital and ensuring financial security. This layer consists of low-risk, stable investments designed to protect wealth, especially in uncertain economic environments [1]. Above this layer, investors may establish an "aspiration" layer, which includes higher-risk assets aimed at achieving long-term financial goals, such as wealth maximization or retirement planning. These layered portfolios allow investors to balance the need for security with the desire for higher returns, offering a more tailored approach to risk management that contrasts with the one-size-fits-all solutions often associated with traditional portfolio theories [5].

Behavioral Portfolio Theory further acknowledges the complexity of risk tolerance, which varies not only across different investors but also within an individual's portfolio. Some investors may be highly risk-averse when it comes to safeguarding a portion of their wealth, allocating it to low-risk investments such as bonds or savings

accounts. At the same time, the same investors might be willing to take significant risks with other parts of their portfolio in pursuit of substantial gains, such as investing in equities or real estate. BPT captures this duality by allowing for the coexistence of conservative and aggressive investments in the same portfolio [3]. This framework provides flexibility and better reflects the diverse risk preferences that investors exhibit in practice, unlike the rigid assumptions of traditional models like Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), which assume a singular level of risk tolerance across the entire portfolio. Moreover, BPT offers a utility-based model that accounts for both the emotional satisfaction derived from protecting one's wealth and the aspirational drive to accumulate more, addressing the multiple dimensions of investor utility [8].

Mental accounting, a concept central to behavioral economics, plays a critical role in shaping investment decisions within the framework of BPT. Investors tend to mentally divide their wealth into separate accounts based on the purpose or the level of risk associated with each. This psychological process influences how they allocate their assets and manage their portfolios. For example, an investor may treat funds set aside for retirement differently from funds earmarked for short-term investments, even if both are part of the same overall portfolio. This segmentation leads to different risk attitudes and decision-making strategies for each mental account, reflecting the various financial goals that investors seek to achieve [7]. Mental accounting can lead to biases such as the isolation effect, where investors evaluate decisions within each account independently, ignoring the broader context of their total wealth. By incorporating mental accounting into its model, BPT provides a realistic understanding of how investors approach portfolio construction and why they may deviate from the rational behaviors assumed in other theories [4].

Another critical aspect of investor behavior under BPT is the concept of loss aversion, which stems from Prospect Theory. Loss aversion refers to the tendency of investors to fear losses more than they value equivalent gains, leading them to take action to avoid losses, even at the cost of forgoing potential profits [9, 10]. In the context of BPT, loss aversion explains why investors might be more focused on protecting the safety-first layer of their portfolio, while still seeking high returns in other parts of their investments. This behavior contrasts sharply with the assumptions of traditional theories that view investors as purely rational agents who make decisions based solely on expected returns. BPT's recognition of loss aversion allows it to account for the emotional reactions that drive investment decisions, such as the reluctance to sell losing investments or the overemphasis on avoiding risk in certain areas of the portfolio [11]. By integrating the principles of Prospect Theory, BPT provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how cognitive biases, like loss aversion, shape the way investors approach risk and manage their portfolios.

In summary, Behavioral Portfolio Theory offers a more nuanced view of investor preferences than traditional models by incorporating layered portfolios, variable risk tolerances, mental accounting, and behavioral biases like loss aversion. These elements provide a deeper understanding of how investors make decisions that balance their desire for security with their aspiration for wealth accumulation, while also accounting for the psychological factors that influence their behavior in financial markets.

## **5. Applications of BPT in Investment Strategies**

Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) has found widespread application in the construction of investment portfolios, offering a practical framework that allows investors to align their financial decisions with psychological preferences. One of the core principles of BPT is that investors build portfolios by segmenting their investments into different layers based on risk tolerance and specific financial goals. A practical example of this can be seen in

the way investors might create a “safety-first” layer composed of conservative assets like government bonds or savings accounts, aimed at capital preservation. Above this, they may allocate funds to higher-risk investments such as stocks or real estate to pursue wealth maximization. This layered approach allows investors to manage their risk more effectively by segregating their portfolio according to their varying degrees of risk aversion across different financial objectives [1]. For example, in emerging markets, where volatility is a significant concern, BPT has been utilized by investors to diversify their portfolios in a manner that balances their aspirations for growth with their desire to mitigate risk [4].

Asset allocation is another key area where BPT has proven influential. Traditional asset allocation models typically focus on optimizing the risk-return tradeoff across an entire portfolio without necessarily accounting for the different psychological goals of investors. However, BPT provides a more flexible approach by acknowledging that investors do not always aim to optimize returns across the entire portfolio but instead manage different parts of their portfolio for distinct purposes. For instance, research has shown that investors might allocate a significant portion of their wealth to lower-risk assets, such as bonds or index funds, within the safety-first layer, while reserving a smaller portion of their portfolio for speculative investments in stocks or alternative assets in higher-risk layers [12]. This layered allocation allows for more personalized investment strategies that reflect both the investor’s need for security and their desire for high returns. Empirical studies have found that BPT-based portfolios are often more resilient during market downturns, as the lower-risk layers provide a cushion against losses, allowing investors to maintain a long-term perspective on their higher-risk investments [13, 14].

Behavioral biases are deeply embedded in the decision-making processes of investors, and BPT explicitly incorporates these biases into its framework. Overconfidence, for instance, is a common bias where investors overestimate their ability to predict market movements or the future performance of individual stocks. This can lead to overly aggressive asset allocation in higher-risk portfolio layers, potentially jeopardizing long-term financial goals [7]. BPT accommodates this by structuring portfolios in a way that can mitigate the adverse effects of such biases, allowing investors to take calculated risks in specific portfolio segments while safeguarding their overall wealth in more conservative layers. Anchoring, another cognitive bias, occurs when investors fixate on specific reference points, such as the purchase price of an asset, which can lead to irrational decision-making, such as holding onto losing investments for too long [6]. In a BPT-based portfolio, mental accounting and layered asset allocation help to offset the impact of anchoring by clearly distinguishing between different financial goals, thereby encouraging more rational decisions in each portfolio layer. Herd behavior, where investors follow the actions of others rather than making independent decisions, is also accounted for in BPT. Investors might allocate funds to safer assets within their portfolio when they perceive widespread market pessimism, even if they are tempted to follow the herd into speculative investments [5].

Through its practical application in portfolio construction, asset allocation, and the management of behavioral biases, BPT offers a robust framework for investors seeking to align their financial strategies with their psychological profiles. It provides a means to balance risk and reward while acknowledging the influence of cognitive biases, making it a valuable tool for both individual investors and financial professionals. By understanding how BPT-based strategies work in practice, investors can build more resilient portfolios that better reflect their personal preferences and long-term financial goals, even in the face of market uncertainty and psychological pressures.

## **6. Challenges and Limitations of BPT**

Despite its innovative approach to understanding investor behavior, Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) presents several challenges and limitations, particularly in its application. One of the primary difficulties lies in the complexity of implementing BPT-based strategies. For investors and financial advisors, constructing a portfolio based on BPT requires a deeper understanding of an investor's psychological and financial goals, which can be difficult to quantify and translate into actionable investment decisions. Unlike traditional approaches like Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), which provides a clear mathematical framework for optimizing portfolios, BPT necessitates a more nuanced assessment of individual risk preferences across different layers of the portfolio. Financial advisors must not only assess an investor's overall risk tolerance but also consider how it may vary depending on specific financial objectives, such as retirement planning or short-term savings. This adds a layer of complexity that can make the practical application of BPT cumbersome, especially for investors who may lack the financial literacy or resources to fully understand and manage such a segmented portfolio structure [1]. Moreover, while BPT offers a more personalized approach, the process of continuously adjusting portfolio layers in response to shifting goals or market conditions can be time-consuming and difficult to execute effectively [12].

Another significant challenge in applying BPT relates to the behavioral biases that it seeks to address. While BPT acknowledges the role of cognitive biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and mental accounting, these biases can still significantly distort investment decisions, even within a BPT framework. For example, loss aversion, where investors are more sensitive to potential losses than equivalent gains, might lead them to overweight the safety-first layer of their portfolio, resulting in overly conservative investment strategies that limit growth potential [4]. Additionally, biases like overconfidence can skew an investor's perception of risk in the aspiration layer, leading to excessive risk-taking that contradicts their overall financial goals [7]. While BPT accounts for these biases by structuring portfolios into layers that match investors' risk preferences, it may not entirely mitigate the influence of such biases. Investors may still make irrational decisions within individual layers, especially when emotional factors like fear or greed dominate their decision-making process. As a result, BPT's effectiveness in curbing behavioral biases is limited by the extent to which investors are aware of and capable of managing these tendencies in practice [5].

When comparing BPT to more established theories like Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), several limitations of BPT become apparent. One of the key advantages of MPT is its simplicity and clear mathematical framework, which allows for the efficient construction of portfolios based on the optimization of risk and return. MPT uses well-established principles like diversification to reduce risk, making it accessible and straightforward for a wide range of investors. In contrast, BPT's layered approach is more complex and less intuitive, particularly for those unfamiliar with behavioral finance. Furthermore, while MPT assumes that investors are rational agents who make decisions solely based on expected returns and risk, BPT takes into account behavioral factors that complicate the decision-making process. This is both a strength and a weakness. On the one hand, BPT provides a more realistic depiction of how investors actually behave, recognizing the role of psychological influences; on the other hand, it lacks the clarity and precision of MPT, making it harder to apply systematically across a broad range of investment scenarios [2]. Moreover, MPT's emphasis on diversification and mean-variance optimization remains a highly effective strategy for many investors, whereas BPT's layered structure may not always provide the same level of risk mitigation, especially when investors' psychological biases are not fully understood or addressed [8].

In conclusion, while Behavioral Portfolio Theory offers a valuable framework for understanding investor behavior and constructing portfolios that align with psychological preferences, it faces significant challenges in practical application. The complexity of implementing BPT-based strategies, the persistence of behavioral biases,

and its comparative limitations when viewed alongside traditional approaches like MPT underscore the need for further refinement and understanding of how BPT can be effectively utilized in real-world investing. Financial advisors and investors alike must weigh the benefits of BPT's personalized approach against the practical difficulties of applying it, particularly when simpler models like MPT offer more accessible and proven methods for portfolio optimization.

## 7. Future Directions in Research

The future of Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) holds considerable promise, as ongoing research continues to expand its scope and applications. One area of development is the refinement of BPT's underlying principles to better capture the full complexity of investor behavior. Researchers are increasingly focused on integrating more sophisticated behavioral insights, such as the interplay between cognitive biases and emotional states, into the BPT framework. This evolution of BPT aims to provide an even more nuanced understanding of how investors' psychological preferences influence portfolio construction. For example, studies are exploring how varying levels of risk aversion fluctuate not only based on financial goals but also on external factors like market volatility and personal life events [5]. Additionally, there is growing interest in how BPT can be adapted to different demographic groups, such as younger investors who may exhibit different behavioral tendencies compared to older generations. These developments suggest that BPT will continue to evolve as a more comprehensive theory that incorporates diverse investor profiles and behaviors [1].

Technological advancements, particularly in artificial intelligence (AI) and financial technology (fintech), are significantly shaping the future application of BPT in modern investment strategies. AI-powered tools are increasingly being used to analyze vast amounts of data related to investor behavior, enabling more precise modeling of individual preferences and biases. Machine learning algorithms, for instance, can identify patterns in investor decision-making that were previously difficult to detect, allowing for the customization of BPT-based portfolios that are more closely aligned with an investor's psychological profile [3]. Moreover, fintech platforms are making it easier for individual investors to implement BPT strategies by providing tools that segment portfolios according to different financial goals and risk tolerances. These platforms can automate the process of adjusting portfolio layers in response to changes in market conditions or shifts in the investor's goals, reducing the complexity associated with manually managing a BPT-based portfolio [15]. As technology continues to evolve, it is likely that the application of BPT will become more accessible to a wider range of investors, making it a more practical tool for everyday portfolio management.

Another promising direction for future research is the integration of BPT with other behavioral finance models to create a more holistic approach to investment strategies. BPT already incorporates many elements of behavioral economics, such as loss aversion and mental accounting, but there is potential to further enrich the theory by drawing on additional models from behavioral finance. For instance, integrating insights from Prospect Theory and Regret Theory could offer a more complete understanding of how emotions like fear and regret influence investment decisions (Muermann & Volkman, 2006). These theories can help explain why investors might be reluctant to sell losing investments or why they might chase after short-term gains despite long-term risks. By combining BPT with these and other behavioral models, researchers could develop more comprehensive strategies that address a wider range of biases and emotional factors, making BPT-based portfolios even more attuned to real-world investor behavior [2].



In conclusion, the future of Behavioral Portfolio Theory is poised for growth as research continues to explore new dimensions of investor behavior and technology enhances its practical applications. By evolving to incorporate more sophisticated behavioral insights, integrating with advanced technologies like AI and fintech, and merging with other behavioral finance models, BPT will likely become an even more powerful tool for understanding and guiding investment strategies. These trends suggest that BPT has the potential to play an increasingly important role in helping investors navigate the complexities of financial markets while staying aligned with their unique psychological preferences and financial goals.

## 8. Conclusion

In conclusion, this review has explored how Behavioral Portfolio Theory (BPT) significantly influences investor preferences and investment strategies by incorporating behavioral and psychological factors into the portfolio construction process. BPT challenges the traditional notion of rational decision-making, as seen in Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), by recognizing that investors are driven not only by expected returns but also by complex psychological motivations. Through the segmentation of portfolios into layers corresponding to different financial goals, BPT allows for a more personalized approach to risk management, reflecting investors' varying risk tolerances across their wealth protection and aspiration layers [1]. Additionally, the theory's recognition of behavioral biases such as loss aversion, overconfidence, and mental accounting offers a more comprehensive understanding of how cognitive and emotional factors shape investment decisions [7]. These insights underscore the relevance of BPT in providing a realistic and adaptable framework for navigating the complexities of financial markets.

For investors, portfolio managers, and financial advisors, the practical implications of BPT are substantial. BPT provides a roadmap for constructing portfolios that align with both financial goals and psychological profiles, allowing for more tailored investment strategies. Investors who adopt a BPT-based approach can better balance their desire for security with their aspiration for growth, by allocating assets across different risk layers that reflect their unique preferences [5]. For financial advisors, understanding the behavioral biases that BPT addresses can improve client engagement by helping them recognize and mitigate irrational decision-making. Advisors can use BPT to guide clients in creating portfolios that reflect their long-term financial objectives while accounting for the emotional responses that often lead to poor investment choices [6]. This framework also allows portfolio managers to manage risk more effectively, especially in volatile markets, by ensuring that clients' more conservative layers are protected, while also providing opportunities for higher returns in riskier layers.

The importance of behavioral factors in investment decision-making cannot be overstated. Traditional models that assume rationality often fail to account for the real-world behaviors and biases that influence how investors react to market changes and construct their portfolios [2]. BPT fills this gap by integrating these behavioral elements into its framework, offering a more accurate reflection of how investors think and behave. As the financial landscape continues to evolve, particularly with advances in technology and the growing use of AI and fintech, BPT is poised to become even more relevant. These technologies can help overcome some of the complexities of implementing BPT by offering automated, data-driven solutions for managing layered portfolios [3]. As research continues to refine the theory and explore its integration with other behavioral finance models, BPT has the potential to evolve further, providing investors with increasingly sophisticated tools for making informed, psychologically attuned financial decisions.

In summary, Behavioral Portfolio Theory stands out as a critical framework in modern finance, bridging the gap between traditional theories and the reality of investor behavior. Its emphasis on understanding investor preferences, managing behavioral biases, and offering a flexible approach to portfolio construction makes it a valuable tool for both individual investors and financial professionals. The future of BPT promises even greater insights as it continues to adapt to changing market dynamics and technological advancements, reinforcing the central role of behavioral factors in shaping investment success.

### **Authors' Contributions**

Authors equally contributed to this article.

### **Ethical Considerations**

All procedures performed in this study were under the ethical standards.

### **Acknowledgments**

Authors thank all participants who participate in this study.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors report no conflict of interest.

### **Funding/Financial Support**

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

### **References**

- [1] H. Shefrin and M. Statman, "Behavioral Portfolio Theory," *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, vol. 35, no. 2, p. 127, 2000, doi: 10.2307/2676187.
- [2] M. Statman, "What Is Behavioral Finance?," 2008, doi: 10.1002/9780470404324.hof002009.
- [3] A. Bihari, M. Dash, K. Muduli, E. Mulat-Weldemeskel, and S. Luthra, "Does Cognitive Biased Knowledge Influence Investor Decisions? An Empirical Investigation Using Machine Learning and Artificial Neural Network," *Vine Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 2023, doi: 10.1108/vjikms-08-2022-0253.
- [4] M. K. Anwar, S. Z. Khan, and A. Rehman, "Financial Literacy, Behavioral Biases and Investor's Portfolio Diversification: Empirical Study of an Emerging Stock Market," *Journal of Finance & Economic Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 145-164, 2017, doi: 10.20547/jfer1702204.
- [5] A. Buccioli and L. Zarri, "Does Investors' Personality Influence Their Portfolios?," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2015, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2585374.
- [6] J. Jain, N. Walia, and S. Gupta, "Evaluation of Behavioral Biases Affecting Investment Decision Making of Individual Equity Investors by Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process," *Review of Behavioral Finance*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 297-314, 2019, doi: 10.1108/rbf-03-2019-0044.
- [7] S. Gherzi, D. Egan, N. Stewart, E. Haisley, and P. Ayton, "The Meerkat Effect: Personality and Market Returns Affect Investors' Portfolio Monitoring Behaviour," *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, vol. 107, pp. 512-526, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2014.07.013.
- [8] N. Barberis, "Investing for the Long Run When Returns Are Predictable," *The Journal of Finance*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 225-264, 2000, doi: 10.1111/0022-1082.00205.
- [9] D. Dorn and G. Huberman, "Who Trades?," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2003, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.423995.
- [10] D. Dorn and G. Huberman, "Talk and Action: What Individual Investors Say and What They Do," *Review of Finance*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 437-481, 2005, doi: 10.1007/s10679-005-4997-z.

- [11] G. Curatola, "Optimal Consumption and Portfolio Choice With Loss Aversion," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2016, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2749498.
- [12] M. W. Brandt, P. Santa-Clara, and R. Valkanov, "Parametric Portfolio Policies: Exploiting Characteristics in the Cross-Section of Equity Returns," *Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 3411-3447, 2009, doi: 10.1093/rfs/hhp003.
- [13] N. Branger and A. Hansis, "Asset Allocation in SVCJ Models: How Much Does Model Choice Matter?," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2009, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1339619.
- [14] N. Branger, C. Schlag, and E. Schneider, "Optimal Portfolios When Volatility Can Jump," *Journal of Banking & Finance*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1087-1097, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2007.09.015.
- [15] X. Dong, "Convexity in Portfolio Choice Under Ambiguity," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2021, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3979586.